



# Policy on Performance Evaluation of Board of Directors Effective Date: March 31, 2016 Aeroplane



# POLICY ON PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF BOARD OF DIRECTORS (Section 149 read with Schedule IV of Companies Act, 2013)

# A. INTRODUCTION

The Company conducts its operations under the directions of Board of Directors within the framework laid down by various statutes, more particularly by the Companies Act, 2013, SEBI Regulations, Memorandum and Articles of Association and Code of Conduct and policies formulated by the Company for its internal execution. The present policy for performance evaluation is being put into place in accordance with the provisions of Companies Act, 2013 and Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 ("SEBI LODR Regulations") on Board Evaluation. Such an evaluation procedure will provide a fine system of checks and balances on the performance of the directors and will ensure that they exercise their powers in a rational manner.

As required under SEBI LODR Regulations and Companies Act, 2013, the evaluation of the Board involves multiple levels:

- 1. Board as a whole
- 2. Committees of the Board
- 3. Individual Directors (including Managing Director, Independent Directors, Non-Independent Directors, etc.)

With an aim to maintain an energized, proactive and effective Board, the Board is committed to a continuing process of recommending and laying down the criteria to evaluate the performance of the entire Board of the Company.

## **B. EFFECTIVENESS OF THE BOARD**

The overall effectiveness of the Board shall be measured on the basis of the ratings obtained by each Director and accordingly the Board shall decide the Appointments, Re-appointments and Removal of the non-performing Directors of the Company. For this reason, based on the fore stated criteria of evaluation the remuneration of the Directors and Key Managerial Personnel shall be determined and reviewed from time to time.

# C. MANNER OF EVALUATION

**Evaluation of Individual director**: The Committee and the entire Board (excluding the director being evaluated) shall carry out the evaluation of performance of individual director of the Company. In addition, the Independent Directors shall hold a meeting at least once in any given year, without the presence of the non-Independent Directors of the Company, to review the performance of such non-Independent Directors.

**Evaluation of the Board**: The Board shall carry out its own performance evaluation. In addition, the Independent Directors in its meeting, without the presence of the non- Independent Directors of the Company, to review the performance of Board.

Evaluation of Board Committees: The Board and the Independent Directors in its meeting, without the



presence of the non- Independent Directors of the Company, shall carry out the evaluation of the performance of each Board Committee based on the questionnaire and feedback form which forms part as Annexure to this Policy.

### D. RESPONSIBILITY OF BOARD / INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS

It shall be the duty of the Board, who shall be supported by the Management to organize the evaluation process and accordingly conclude the steps required to be taken. The evaluation process will be used constructively as a system to improve the directors' and committees' effectiveness, to maximize their strength and to tackle their shortcomings.

In conformity with the requirement of the Act, the performance evaluation of all the directors shall be done by the entire Board of Directors, excluding the director being evaluated.

Independent Directors are duty bound to evaluate the performance of non - Independent Directors and Board as a whole. The independent directors of the Company shall hold at least one meeting in a year to review the performance of the non- Independent Directors, performance of Managing Director of the Company and Board as a whole, taking into account the views of executive directors and non-executive directors.

### E. EVALUATION FACTORS

The Board of Directors shall pay regards to the following parameters for the purpose of evaluating the performance of a particular director:

In respect of each of the evaluation factors, various aspects have been provided to assist with the evaluation process in respect of performance of Board itself, and of its committees and individual directors as such evaluation factors may vary in accordance with their respective functions and duties.

Evaluation of Independent Director shall be carried on by the entire Board in the same way as it is done for the Executive Directors of the Company except the Director getting evaluated.

Appraisal of each Director of the Company shall be based on the criteria as mentioned herein below.

# **Rating Scale**

Performance	Rating
Satisfactory	1
Not Satisfactory	0

The Company has chosen to adopt the following Board Performance Evaluation Process:

# **BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

Some of the specific issue and questions that should be considered in a performance evaluation of the entire Board by Independent Directors, are set out below:



Sr. no.	Particulars		Ratings	Remarks
	Α.	Structure of the Board:		
	1.	Competency of directors: Whether Board as a		
		whole has directors with a proper mix of		
		competencies to conduct its affairs effectively.		
	2.	Experience of directors: Whether Board as a		
		whole has directors with enough experience to		
		conduct its affairs effectively.		
	3.	Mix of qualifications: Whether Board as a whole		
		has directors with a proper mix of qualifications		
		to conduct its affairs effectively.		
	4.	Diversity in Board under various parameters:		
		Gender/background/ competence/experience, etc.		
		Whether there is sufficient diversity in the Board		
		on the aforesaid parameters.		
	5.	Whether the process of appointment to the Board		
		of directors is clear and transparent and includes		
		provisions to consider diversity of thought,		
		experience, knowledge, perspective and gender in		
	D	the Board of directors.		
	-	Meetings of the Board		
	1.	Regularity of meetings: Whether meetings are		
	2	being held on a regular basis		
		Frequency Whather the Board mosts frequently		
	a. b.	Whether the Board meets frequently Whether the frequency of such meetings is		
	υ.	enough for the Board to undertake its duties		
		properly		
	3.	Logistics		
	٠.	Whether the logistics for the meeting is being		
		handled properly- venue, format, timing, etc.		
	4.	Agenda		
	a.	Whether the agenda is circulated well before the		
		meeting		
	b.	Whether the agenda has all relevant information		
		to take decision on the matter		
	c.	Whether the agenda is up to date, regularly		
		reviewed and involves major substantial decisions		
	d.	Whether the quality of agenda and Board papers		
		is up to the mark (explains issues properly, not		
		overly lengthy, etc.)		
	e.	Whether outstanding items of previous meetings		
		are followed-up and taken up in subsequent		
	C	agenda		
	f.	Whether the time allotted for the every item		



			1	ı
		(especially substantive items) in the agenda of the		
		meeting is sufficient for adequate discussions on		
		the subject		
	~	Whether the Board is able to finish discussion and		
	g.			
		decision on all agenda items in the meeting		
	h.	Whether adequate and timely inputs are taken		
		from the Board members prior to setting of the		
		Agenda for the meeting		
	•			
	i.	Whether the agenda includes adequate		
		information on Committee's Activities		
	5.	Discussions and dissent:		
	a.	Whether the Board discusses every issue		
	•••	comprehensively and depending on the		
		1 1		
		importance of the subject		
	b.	Whether the environment of the meeting induces		
		free-flowing discussions, healthy debate and		
		contribution by everyone without any fear or		
		fervour		
	Ċ.	Whether the discussions generally add value to		
		the decision making		
	d.	Whether the Board tends towards group think and		
		whether critical and dissenting suggestions are		
		welcomed		
	e.	Whether all members actively participate in the		
	С.			
	0	discussions		
	f.	Whether overall, the Board functions		
		constructively as a team		
	6.	Recording of minutes:		
	a.	Whether the minutes are being recorded properly		
	u.	clearly, completely, accurately and consistently.		
	1			
	b.	Whether the minutes are approved properly in		
		accordance with set procedures.		
	c.	Whether the minutes are timely circulated to all		
		the Board members		
	d.	Whether dissenting views are recorded in the		
	u.	minutes.		
-	7			
	7.	Dissemination of information:		
	a.	Whether all the information pertaining to the		
		meeting are disseminated to the members timely,		
		frequently, accurately, regularly		
	b.	Whether Board is adequately informed of material		
	υ.			
		matters in between meetings	<b> </b>	
		Functions of the Board		
	1.	Role and responsibilities of the Board: Whether		
		the same are clearly documented		
	2.	Strategy and performance evaluation:		
		Whether significant time of the Board is being		
	a.	whether significant time of the board is being		



		devoted to management of current and potential		
		strategic issues		
	b.	Whether various scenario planning is used to		
	υ.			
	•	evaluate strategic risks		
	c.	Whether the Board overall reviews and guides		
		corporate strategy, major plans of action, risk		
		policy, annual budgets and business plans, sets		
		performance objectives, monitored		
		implementation and corporate performance, and		
		oversees major capital expenditures, acquisitions		
-	2	and divestments.		
		Governance and compliance		
	a.	Whether adequate time of the Board is being		
		devoted to analyse and examine governance and		
	1.	compliance issues		
	b.	Whether the Board monitors the effectiveness of		
		its governance practices and makes changes as needed		
	_			
	c.	Whether the Board ensures the integrity of the		
		entity's accounting and financial reporting		
		systems, including the independent audit, and that appropriate systems of control are in place, in		
		particular, systems for risk management, financial		
		and operational control, and compliance with the law and relevant standards		
	a	Whether the Board oversees the process of		
	u.	disclosure and communications.		
	_	Whether the Board evaluates and analyses the		
	e.	compliance certificate from the auditors/		
		practicing company secretaries regarding		
		compliance of conditions of corporate governance		
	4.	Evaluation of Risks:		
	<del>т</del> . а.	Whether Board undertakes a review of the high		
	a.	risk issues impacting the organization regularly		
		In assessment of risks, whether it is ensured that,		
		while rightly encouraging positive thinking, these		
		do not result in over-optimism that either leads to		
		significant risks not being recognised or exposes		
		the entity to excessive risk.		
	5.	Grievance redressal for Investors:		
		Whether the Board regularly reviews the		
		grievance redressal mechanism of investors,		
		details of grievances received, disposed of and		
		those remaining unresolved.		
	6.	Conflict of interest:		
	a.	Whether the Board monitors and manages		
		potential conflicts of interest of management,		
		Transport of management,	I	L



b.	members of the Board of directors and shareholders, including misuse of corporate assets and abuse in related party transactions  Whether a sufficient number of non-executive	
	members of the Board of directors capable of exercising independent judgement are assigned to	
	tasks where there is a potential for conflict of interest	
7.	Stakeholder value and responsibility:	
a.	Whether the decision making process of the	
	Board is adequate to assess creation of stakeholder value	
b.	Whether the Board has mechanisms in place to	
υ.	communicate and engage with various stakeholders	
c.	Whether the Board acts on a fully informed basis,	
	in good faith, with due diligence and care, with	
	high ethical standards and in the best interest of	
	the entity and the stakeholders.	
d.		
	stakeholders fairly where decisions of the Board	
	of directors may affect different shareholder/	
	stakeholder groups differently.	
e.	Whether the Board regularly reviews the Business	
	Responsibility Reporting / related corporate social	
	responsibility initiatives of the entity and	
	contribution to society, environment etc.	
8.	Corporate culture and values: Whether the Board	
	sets a corporate culture and the values by which	
	executives throughout a group shall behave	
9.	Review of Board evaluation: Whether the Board	
	monitors and reviews the Board evaluation	
	framework	
10	Facilitation of Independent Directors:	
	Whether the Board facilitates the Independent	
	Directors to perform their role effectively as a	
	member of the Board of directors and also a	
	member of a committee of Board of directors and	
	any criticism by such directors is taken	
	constructively.	
D	Board and management	
	Evaluation of performance of the management	
1.	and feedback:	
a.	Whether the Board evaluates and monitors	
a.	management, especially the CEO regularly and	
	fairly and provides constructive feedback and	
	strategic guidance	



1	XX/1 ./1 ./1 1 1 1 1 ./	
b	Whether the measures used are broad enough to	
	monitor performance of the management	
c.	$\mathcal{E}$	
	benchmarked against industry peers	
d	Whether remuneration of the management is in	
	line with its performance and with industry peers	
e.		
	management is aligned with the longer term	
	interests of the entity and its shareholders	
f.	, 1	
	monitors and, when necessary, replaces key	
	managerial personnel based on such evaluation	
g	*	
	executive management by challenging the	
	assumptions underlying strategy, strategic	
	initiatives (such as acquisitions), risk appetite,	
	exposures and key areas of the Company's focus	
2.	1	
	Whether the level of independence of the	
	management from the Board is adequate	
3.	2	
	Board access to the management:	
	Whether the Board and the management are able	
	to actively access each other and exchange	
	information	
4.	1.1	
	Whether adequate secretarial and logistical	
	support is available for conducting Board	
	meetings etc.	
5.		
	Whether sufficient funds are made available to	
	the Board for conducting its meeting effectively,	
	seeking expert advice E.g. Legal, accounting,	
6.	*	
	Whether an appropriate and adequate succession	
	plan is in place and is being reviewed and	
	overseen regularly by the Board	
	. Professional development	
1.	1	
	development programmes are made available to	
	new and old directors	
2.		
	to ensure that the members of Board of directors	
	are kept up to date	



# **COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD**

The Board has constituted the following committees:

- 1. Audit Committee;
- 2. Nomination and Remuneration Committee;
- 3. Stakeholders Relationship Committee;
- 4. Corporate Social Responsibility Committee;
- 5. Risk Management Committee, and
- 6. IPO Committee

For evaluating the performance of each committee, the Board of Directors shall pay regards to the following aspects as set out below:

Sr.	Particulars	Rating	Remarks
No.			
1.	Mandate and composition: Whether the mandate,		
	composition and working procedures of committees		
	of the Board of directors is clearly defined and		
	disclosed.		
2.	Effectiveness of the Committee: Whether the		
	Committee has fulfilled its functions as assigned by		
	the Board and laws as may be applicable		
3.	Structure of the Committee and meetings:		
	a. Whether the Committees have been structure		
	properly and regular meetings are being held		
	b. In terms of discussions, agenda, etc. of the		
	meetings, similar criteria may be laid down as		
	specified above for the entire Board		
4.	Independence of the Committee from the Board:		
	Whether adequate independence of the Committee is		
	ensured from the Board		
5.	Contribution to decisions of the Board: Whether the		
	Committee's recommendations contribute		
	effectively to decisions of the Board.		

# INDIVIDUAL DIRECTORS (INCLUDING MANAGING DIRECTOR, INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS, NON-INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS, ETC.)

Some of the specific issues and questions that should be considered in a performance evaluation of Independent Director, in which the concerned director being evaluated shall not be included, are set out below:

Name of Director being assessed:\_[•]\_\_\_\_

Sr.	Assessment Criteria	Rating	Remarks/Comments
No.			



1.	Ge	eneral		
1.	a.	Fulfillment of functions: Whether the person		
	a.	understands and fulfills the functions to him/her as		
		assigned by the Board and the law (E.g. Law		
		imposes certain obligations on Independent	ļ	
		Directors)		
	b.	11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
	U.	is able to function as an effective team- member		
		Initiative: Whether the person actively takes	ļ	
	c.	initiative with respect to various areas		
	d.			
	u.	available for meetings of the Board and attends		
		_		
		the meeting regularly and timely, without delay.		
	e.	1 1 2		
	f.	committed to the Board and the entity		
	1.	Contribution: Whether the person contributed		
	~	effectively to the entity and in the Board meeting	ļ	
	g.	Integrity: Whether the person demonstrates highest level of integrity (including conflict of	ļ	
		interest disclosures, maintenance of	ļ	
		confidentiality, etc.)	ļ	
2.	<b>A</b> c	dditional criteria for Independent director		
۷.				
	a.	Independence: Whether person is independent	ļ	
		from the entity and the other directors and there if no conflict of interest		
	b.			
	D.		ļ	
		person exercises his/ her own judgement and		
2	<b>A</b> 6	voices opinion freely		
3.		dditional criteria for Managing Director		
	a.	Effectiveness of leadership and ability to steer the	ļ	
		meetings: Whether the Managing Director		
		displays efficient leadership, is openminded,		
		decisive, courteous, displays professionalism, able	ļ	
		to coordinate the discussion, etc. and is overall		
	1_	able to steer the meeting effectively	ļ	
	b.			
		impartial in conducting discussions, seeking views		
		and dealing with dissent, etc.	ļ	
	C.	Commitment: Whether the Managing Director is		
		sufficiently committed to the Board and its		
	ار ا	meetings.		
	d.	<b>y</b> 1		
		Whether the Managing Director is able to keep		
		shareholder's interest in mind discussions and		
	<u></u>	decisions		1



The performance evaluation process will be reviewed annually by the "Nomination and Remuneration Committee".

Subject to the approval of Board of Directors, the Committee may amend the Policy, if required, to ascertain its appropriateness as per the needs of the Company.

### SEPARATE MEETING FOR EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE OF BOARD MEMBERS

Evaluation of the executive Directors shall be carried out by entire Board except the executive Director being evaluated. The meeting for the purpose of evaluation of performance of Board members shall be held at least once in a year and the Company shall disclose the criteria laid down by the Committee for performance evaluation on its web site for the reference and also in the annual report of the Company.

### CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE

The Committee has laid down the criteria for evaluation of performance of executive Directors, Independent Directors, Chairman and the Board:

- 1. Attendance and contribution at Board and Committee meetings.
- 2. His / her stature, appropriate mix of expertise, skills, behaviour, experience, leadership qualities, sense of sobriety and understanding of business, strategic direction to align company's value and standards.
- 3. His / her knowledge of finance, accounts, legal, investment, marketing, foreign exchange / hedging, internal controls, risk management, assessment and mitigation, business operations, processes and corporate governance.
- 4. His / her ability to create a performance culture that drives value creation and a high quality of debate with robust and probing discussions.
- 5. Effective decisions making ability to respond positively and constructively to implement the same to encourage more transparency.
- 6. Open channels of communication with executive management and other colleague on Board to maintain high standards of integrity and probity.
- 7. Recognize the role which he / she is expected to play, internal board relationships to make decisions objectively and collectively in the best interest of the Company to achieve organizational successes and harmonizing the Board.
- 8. His / her global presence, rational, physical and metal fitness, broader thinking, vision on corporate social responsibility etc.
- 9. Quality of decision making on source of raw material / procurement of roughs, export marketing, understanding financial statements and business performance, raising of finance, best



- source of finance, working capital requirement, forex dealings, geopolitics, human resources etc.
- 10. His / her ability to monitor the performance of management and satisfy himself with integrity of the financial controls and systems in place by ensuring right level of contact with external stakeholders.
- 11. His / her contribution to enhance overall brand image of the Company.

### **DISCLOSURE**

Company will disclose details of its Board Performance Evaluation processes in its Board's Report. The Board's report containing such statement shall indicate the manner in which formal evaluation has been made by the Board of its own performance and that of the committees of the Board and individual directors of the Company.

\*\*\*\*

**Version approved by:** The Board of Directors

Effective Date: March 31, 2016 Last modified date: April 10, 2025